

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 DATAPLEX, INC.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ALLIANCE SEMICONDUCTOR, CORPORATION,  
12 ET AL.,

13 Defendants.  
14 \_\_\_\_\_/

15 GREG PRIOETTE,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,  
19 ET AL.,

20 Defendants.  
21 \_\_\_\_\_/

22 ALEXANDER MA,

23 Plaintiff,

24 v.

25 ALLIANCE SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,  
26 ET AL.,

27 Defendants.  
28 \_\_\_\_\_/

No. C 06-6491 CW

ORDER DENYING  
WITHOUT PREJUDICE  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS  
TO CONSIDER WHETHER  
CASES SHOULD BE  
RELATED

No. C 06-6501 WHA

No. 06-6511 EDL

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 RECLAIM CENTER, INC., ET AL.,

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
5 ET AL.,

6 Defendants.

No. 06-6533 SI

7 \_\_\_\_\_/  
8 YONI RIBO,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,  
12 ET AL.,

13 Defendants.

No. 06-6535 WHA

14 \_\_\_\_\_/  
15 ARTHUR MADSEN, ET AL.,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
19 ET AL.,

20 Defendants.

No. 06-6541 SI

21 JAMIE MAITES, ET AL.,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 v.

24 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
25 ET AL.,

26 Defendants.

No. 06-6542 SBA

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

WESTELL TECHNOLOGIES INC.,

No. 06-6652 JL

Plaintiff,

v.

ALLIANCE SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,  
ET AL.,

Defendants.

DAVID TAKEDA,

No. 06-6663 MEJ

Plaintiff,

v.

ALLIANCE SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,  
ET AL.,

Defendants.

KAROL JUSKIEWICZ,

No. 06-6668 MJJ

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
ET AL.,

Defendants.

CHIP TECH, LTD.,

No. 06-6698 JSW

Plaintiff,

v.

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP., ET AL.,

Defendants.

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 MICHAEL FRANCIS AYERS, ET AL.,

No. 06-6770 SBA

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
5 ET AL.,

6 Defendants.  
7 \_\_\_\_\_/

8 DON THOMPSON, ET AL.,

No. 06-7006 SI

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 ALLIANCE SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,  
12 ET AL.,

13 Defendants.  
14 \_\_\_\_\_/

15 STARGATE FILMS INC.,

No. 06-7007 CW

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 ALLIANCE SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,  
19 ET AL.,

20 Defendants.  
21 \_\_\_\_\_/

22 MICHAEL KATZ, ET AL.,

No. 06-7194 MEJ

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
26 ET AL.,

27 Defendants.  
28 \_\_\_\_\_/

1 The Court has before it five motions to consider whether cases  
2 should be related. The Court has also received a notice from the  
3 Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation that a motion to  
4 transfer has been filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 (MDL No. 1819,  
5 In re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation).  
6 Because resolution of the motion to transfer may moot the motions  
7 before this Court, the Court DENIES the motions to consider  
8 relating without prejudice to renewal following the resolution of  
9 the proceedings before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict  
10 Litigation (Docket Nos. 4, 7, 16, 26, 28).<sup>1</sup>

11  
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13  
14 Dated: 12/ 14/06



15 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
16 United States District Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

---

25 <sup>1</sup> The Court notes that there is a motion to consider relating  
26 some of these cases to an earlier numbered case, In re DRAM  
27 Antitrust Litigation, No. 02-1486 PJH), which Judge Hamilton denied  
28 without prejudice due to the pending proceedings before the  
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.